

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
BRUNSWICK DIVISION**

Resurrection House Ministries, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

v.

City of Brunswick, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:23-cv-117

RULE 26(f) REPORT

The attorney(s) and/or unrepresented parties listed below certify that:

- 1) they have read the Court's Rule 26 Instruction Order entered in this case;
- 2) they have held a conference, in person or telephonically, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f); and
- 3) they have discussed the case and jointly prepared the following Rule 26(f) Report.

Identify, for each party, the attorney(s) and/or unrepresented parties who attended the Rule 26(f) Conference, the counsel or unrepresented parties who participated in preparing the Rule 26(f) Report, and the date of the Rule 26(f) Conference:

September 26, 2024

James Yancey for Plaintiff

Emily Hancock for the City Defendants

Amelia Stevens for Defendant Sheriff Jump

I. INITIAL MATTERS:

A. Jurisdiction and Venue

The defendant(s)

☒ does ☐ does not

contest jurisdiction and/or venue (for multiple defendants, identify which defendants contest jurisdiction and/or venue). If contested, such position is because:

1) Jurisdiction:

Defendants do not contest personal jurisdiction. Defendants contest subject matter jurisdiction to the extent Plaintiff's claims are barred by the Younger abstention doctrine, the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, and/or the doctrines of res judicata, claim preclusion, or issue preclusion. Defendants intend to file a dispositive motion based, in part, on these jurisdictional issues.

2) Venue:

Defendants do not contest venue.

B. Immunity

The defendant(s)

☐ has raised ☒ will raise ☐ will not raise

an immunity defense based on:

qualified immunity and sovereign immunity.

C. Stay of Discovery Due to Motion to Dismiss, Transfer, or Remand

If a motion to dismiss, transfer, or remand has been or will be filed, or if any party will otherwise raise an initial defense including lack of jurisdiction, improper venue, or immunity, state whether the parties wish to delay proceeding with discovery until those issues have been decided. If so, state (i) the earliest a motion to dismiss or transfer will be filed; and (ii) what, if any, initial discovery, limited to that issue, will be necessary to resolve the motion.

Should any party seek a stay of discovery due to the filing of a motion to dismiss, the party must file a motion to stay and state within the motion whether the other parties join in, consent to, or oppose the motion.

The Court previously entered a stay of discovery while the City Defendants' and Defendant Sheriff Jump's motions to dismiss were pending. That stay lifted when the Court resolved the motions, and the Defendants do not intend to seek another stay at this time.

II. SETTLEMENT:

A. Settlement Efforts to Date

The parties state:

- ☒ They have not yet taken any efforts to resolve this dispute.
- ☐ They have taken efforts to resolve this dispute, and those efforts generally consisted of:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

B. Early Settlement Discussion

Counsel certify that, as required by the Rule 26 Instruction Order, they have discussed the potential for settlement between themselves and explored early involvement in alternative dispute resolution with their clients, and:

- ☐ The parties are prepared to discuss settlement with the Court at this time.
- ☒ The parties will not be prepared to discuss settlement until: [Click here to enter a date..](#)

If the parties will not be prepared to discuss settlement until a later date, explain why, including what, if any, discovery the parties need in order to discuss settlement:

The Defendants intend to file dispositive motions and likely will not be ready to discuss settlement until those motions have been resolved.

III. INITIAL DISCLOSURES AND SCHEDULING CONFERENCE:

A. Initial Disclosures

Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures:

☐ have been completed. ☒ will be completed by **October 10, 2024**.

Unless otherwise ordered, mandatory disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) must be made within fourteen (14) days of the parties' Rule 26(f) Conference. If any party is requesting additional time to provide disclosures, please explain why:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

B. Scheduling Conference

As explained in the Rule 26 Instruction Order, the Court may hold a Scheduling Conference (to be attended only by counsel and any unrepresented parties) before entering a Scheduling Order. Ordinarily, the Court will allow appearance via telephone. If any party is requesting that the Court hold a Scheduling Conference prior to the issuance of a Scheduling Order, please state so below:

The parties are not requesting a Scheduling Conference.

If a Scheduling Conference is necessary, it should be held no more than twenty (20) days after the submission of this Report. Should the Court deem a scheduling conference necessary, the parties are available for a Scheduling Conference on the following dates (if any party is not available until after the 20-day time period, please explain why):

October 7, 8, 10

IV. DISCOVERY

Discovery will proceed more effectively and efficiently if the parties address discovery in the following sequential order:

First: Accomplishing all fact-based written discovery, inspections, and examinations under Rules 33 through 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as well as the subpoena of documents, electronically stored information, and other tangible things from third parties under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(d)(2);

Second: Conducting discovery depositions of fact witnesses who have not been designated as experts;

Third: Making all expert disclosures; and

Fourth: Conducting all expert depositions.

Unless the parties show good cause to proceed otherwise, the parties must propose discovery deadlines that follow this sequential course. In other words, absent a specific showing of good cause, the parties should not propose one deadline to accomplish all discovery measures. Rather, the parties must propose sequential deadlines by which they shall successively accomplish each of the above four areas of discovery.

If any party proposes discovery deadlines that do not follow the sequential schedule laid out above, please state the reason(s) why.

The parties do not anticipate naming expert witnesses and therefore do not need sequential discovery for expert witness disclosures and depositions.

Additionally, pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(d), the parties must complete all discovery within 140 days of the last answer of the defendants named in the original complaint. However, the Court will provide additional time upon a showing of good cause.

If any party proposes discovery deadlines that extend beyond the 140-day period, please state the reason(s) why.

The parties ask that the 140-day discovery period be calculated from the date of the Rule 26(f) Conference, which was held on September 26, 2024.

A. Fact-Based Written Discovery, Inspections, and Examinations

- i. Written discovery, inspections, and examinations under Rules 33 through 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will be completed by **January 14, 2025**.
- ii. The maximum number of interrogatories, including sub-parts, which may be served by any party on any other party, is: 25.

If any party seeks to exceed the limit of twenty-five (25) interrogatories set by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a)(1), please state why:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- iii. Will any party seek to conduct any inspections of any land, premises, or other property under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a)(2) or 45(d)(2)? No

If yes, please describe the anticipated inspection(s) and state whether the inspection(s) should occur during the expert discovery period rather than during fact-based discovery.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- iv. Will any party seek to conduct any physical or mental examinations under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 35? No

If yes, please describe the anticipated examination(s) and state whether the examination(s) should occur during the expert discovery period rather than during fact-based discovery.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

B. Depositions of Non-Expert Witnesses

- i. Discovery depositions of witnesses who have not been designated as experts will be completed by: **February 13, 2025**.
- ii. The maximum number of depositions (including all expert and non-expert) that may be taken by a party is: 10.

If any party seeks to exceed the limit of ten (10) depositions set by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2)(A)(i), please state why:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- iii. Depositions will be limited in duration by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(d)(1), except the depositions of:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

which, by agreement, shall be limited as follows:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

C. Expert Discovery

- i. Does the plaintiff(s) anticipate designating, calling, or otherwise relying upon any expert witnesses? No
- ii. Does the defendant(s) anticipate designating, calling, or otherwise relying upon any expert witnesses? No
- iii. Plaintiff(s) shall serve any and all expert reports required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and any and all disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C) by **January 14, 2025**.
- iv. Defendant(s) shall serve any and all expert reports required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and any and all disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C) by **January 14, 2025**.
- v. The parties shall conduct any and all discovery depositions of witnesses who have been designated as experts by **February 13, 2025**.

If any party anticipates any problems regarding expert discovery or any unique circumstances that the Court should be aware of regarding expert discovery, please explain:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

D. Agreed Discovery Procedures

i. Unique Circumstances

Are there any facts or circumstances unique to this case that will make fact or expert discovery more difficult or more time consuming? If so, please explain such facts and circumstances and the actions the parties have agreed upon to address them:

The parties are aware of no facts or circumstances unique to this case that will make fact or expert discovery more difficult or time consuming.

ii. Phased Discovery

Does any party propose that discovery be limited or proceed in phases? If so, please list each party making such a proposal and briefly explain the party's proposal:

(Phased discovery denotes discovery into one issue or set of facts first and then discovery into other issues or set of facts at a later time. Phased discovery does not include addressing fact-based discovery first and expert discovery thereafter. As explained above, sequentially addressing fact-based discovery measures first and expert discovery thereafter should be the normal course.)

No

E. Third-Party Discovery

Does any party anticipate that it will request a substantial amount of discovery, including the production of documents and other tangible things, from any individual or entity that is not a party to this action? No

If so, please describe (i) any such third party and the discovery to be sought, (ii) any difficulties the parties anticipate in obtaining discovery from the third party, and (iii) whether the third party should participate in the scheduling conference.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

F. Electronically Stored Information

By signing below, the parties certify that they have conferred together regarding the preservation and production of electronically stored information that may be relevant to the disposition of this suit as specifically required by the Rule 26 Instruction Order. The parties are prepared to discuss discovery of electronically stored information with the Court at the Rule 26 Instruction Conference.

- i. Do the parties anticipate any disagreements about electronic discovery? If so, please explain:

The parties do not anticipate any disagreements about electronic discovery.

- ii. Do the parties anticipate that any special provisions are needed in the Scheduling Order with respect to electronic discovery? If so, please explain:

The parties do not anticipate that any special provisions are needed in the Scheduling Order with respect to electronic discovery.

- iii. Do the parties otherwise seek to bring any issue regarding electronic discovery to the Court's attention? If so, please explain:

The parties do not intend to bring any issue regarding electronic discovery to the Court's attention. If any disputes arise over electronically stored information, the parties will endeavor to work out any disagreements among themselves before involving the Court.

G. Privileged, Protected, and/or Confidential Communications and Information

The parties certify that they have conferred together regarding any documents or information withheld due to claims of privilege, confidentiality, or other protections as required by the Rule 26 Instruction Order.

- i. Have the parties reached an agreement regarding the procedures for asserting claims of privilege, confidentiality, or protection? Not Applicable

If so, please briefly describe such agreement:

—

- ii. Are there terms of any agreement regarding privileged, protected, or confidential information that the parties wish to have memorialized in the Scheduling Order?

No

If so, please explain or attach any separate proposed order which the parties are requesting the Court to enter addressing such matters. Please include below, or in the attachment, a statement of good cause explaining the need for entry of such an order:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- iii. Are there any issues regarding claims of privilege, confidentiality, or protection as to which the parties have been unable to reach an agreement?

No

If so, please explain:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

H. Protected Health Information

- i. Do the parties anticipate that this case will involve an individual's medical records and/or protected health information, including any information that may be protected by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and/or any state or federal privilege or protection for health information?

No

- ii. Has any individual whose protected health information could be relevant to this case executed a release allowing for counsel of the opposing party to obtain protected health information directly from health care providers?

No

- iii. Have the parties reached any agreements regarding the protection of such information including any agreements regarding the further disclosure of such information?

Not Applicable

- iv. Are there terms of any agreement regarding protected health information that the parties wish to have memorialized in the scheduling order? No

If so, please explain or attach any separate proposed order which the parties are requesting the Court to enter addressing such matters. Please include below, or in the attachment, a statement of good cause explaining the need for entry of such an order:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- v. Are there any issues regarding protected health information as to which the parties have been unable to reach an agreement? No

If so, please explain:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

V. MOTIONS

A. Motions to Amend the Pleadings or to Add Parties

- i. The plaintiff(s) ☒ does ☐ does not

anticipate the need to amend pleadings or add parties. Any motions to amend pleadings shall be filed by **November 1, 2024**.

- ii. The defendant(s) ☐ does ☒ does not

anticipate the need to amend pleadings or add parties. Any motions to amend pleadings shall be filed by [Click here to enter a date..](#)

If there are multiple defendants and less than all defendants anticipate needing to amend pleadings or add parties, please indicate which defendants so anticipate:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

- iii. By Local Rule 16.3, all motions to amend pleadings or add parties are to be filed within sixty (60) days after the first filing of an answer. If any party is requesting more than this time, please state the reason(s) why such time is necessary.

Plaintiff has sought and is still attempting to identify unknown John Does and requests additional time to do.

B. Civil Motions (Except Motions in Limine)

All other motions, including motions for summary judgment and motions to exclude expert testimony on *Daubert* and other grounds (but excluding motions in limine), shall be filed by: **March 17, 2025**.

If any party is requesting a deadline to file motions (other than motions in limine) more than thirty (30) days after the deadline to complete discovery, please state the reason why such time is necessary:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

VI. PRETRIAL

A. Pretrial Order

The parties shall prepare and file the joint consolidated pretrial order by: **May 16, 2025**.

The Court generally provides sixty (60) days following the civil motions deadline to file the pretrial order. However, if a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion is pending at the time of the deadline, the deadline for filing the pretrial order is automatically extended to twenty-one (21) days after the Court's ruling on the motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If any party is requesting additional time (a deadline more than sixty (60) days following the civil motions deadline), please state the reason(s) why such time is necessary:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

B. De Ben Esse Depositions

The parties shall complete all depositions of all witnesses (whether fact or expert) that are *de ben esse depositions* (taken not for discovery but for testimony to be used at trial) by: **April 16, 2025**.

The Court generally provides thirty (30) days before the pretrial order deadline to complete *de ben esse* depositions. If any party is requesting additional time, please state the reason(s) why such time is necessary. The parties are cautioned that they will be required to specifically designate all testimony that they seek to offer by deposition as well as all objections to such testimony in the consolidated pretrial order.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

VII. ADDITIONAL MATTERS

Please state any other matters to which the parties stipulate and/or which the court should know or consider before entering the Scheduling Order:

None.

Dated: **September 26, 2024**

Pro Se

/s/ James A. Yancey, Jr.
Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

/s/ Amelia C. Stevens
Counsel for Defendant(s)

/s/ [Click here to enter text.](#)
Counsel for Defendant(s)

Pro Se

/s/ [Click here to enter text.](#)
Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

/s/ Emily R. Hancock
Counsel for Defendant(s)

/s/ [Click here to enter text.](#)
Counsel for Defendant(s)